

Therefore, while applicants believe the claims are allowable, applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants are in agreement with the comments set forth in the Interview Summary regarding the telephonic interview held between the Examiner and undersigned on May 7-8, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

Fay Sharpe LLP

7-10-09

Date




Mark S. Svat, Reg. No. 34,261
The Halle Building – Fifth Floor
1228 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44115
216-363-9000

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as being attached or enclosed) is (are) being transmitted to the USPTO by electronic transmission via the EFS Web on the date indicated below.

7-10-09

Date



Name: Karen M. Forsyth